# ISOMETRIC EQUIVALENCE OF ISOMETRIES ON $H^p$

## Joseph A. Cima and Warren R. Wogen

Abstract. We consider a natural notion of equivalence for bounded linear operators on  $H^p$ , for  $p \neq 2$ . We determine which isometries of finite codimension are equivalent. For these isometries, we classify those which have the Crownover property.

#### 1. Introduction

If A and B are bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space, then A and B are unitarily equivalent if  $B=UAU^*$  for some unitary operator U. One can then view A and B as abstractly the same operator. In the general Banach space setting one can replace unitary equivalence by using onto isometries of the Banach space being considered. So if X is a Banach space and  $\mathbb{B}(X)$  are the bounded linear operators on X, then we will say that A and B in  $\mathbb{B}(X)$  are isometrically equivalent if  $B=UAU^{-1}$  for some onto isometry U in  $\mathbb{B}(X)$ . In this case we write  $A\approx B$ . The utility of this notation will of course depend on specific properties of the space X and its onto isometries. The Banach spaces considered in this note are the classical Hardy spaces  $H^p$ , for  $p\neq 2$ . The onto isometries of  $H^p$  have been determined (see Theorem A), and we will classify some familiar operators on  $H^p$  up to isometric equivalence. This work is motivated by some questions of J. Jamison. In particular he asked which isometries on  $H^p$  are equivalent to the shift (see Corollary 1).

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this paper we consider the Banach spaces  $H^p$  of the unit disc D , for  $1 \le p < \infty, p \ne 2$ . Recall that  $H^p$  consists of the analytic functions f on D for which

$$Sup_{0 < r < < 1} \int_{T} |f(r\zeta)|^{p} dm(\zeta)$$

is finite, dm the usual Lebesgue measure on the unit circle T (See Duren2).

**Definition 1.**  $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$  will denote the onto isometries of  $H^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ .

 $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$  is a group under the usual operator multiplication. The description of  $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$  for p=1 is due to de Leew,et.al. [4], and for  $1 , <math>p \neq 2$ , to Forelli [6] and is given in Theorem A below.

2010 Subject Classification Primary 47B32, 33, 30J05.

Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces, Isometries.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the collection of holomorphic automorphisms of the unit disc D. That is,

$$\mathbb{A} = \{ \phi(z) = \frac{\lambda(z-a)}{1 - \overline{a}z}; \quad a \in D, \quad |\lambda| = 1 \}$$

A is a group under composition with identity e, where e(z) = z. Following [8], we write  $\phi_n$  for the n-fold composition of  $\phi$  with itself. In addition, we denote the (compositional) inverse of  $\phi$  by  $\phi_{-1}$ . Note that  $(\phi_n)_{-1}$ , the inverse of  $\phi_n$ , is just  $(\phi_{-1})_n$ , the n-fold composition of  $\phi_{-1}$  with itself, which we denote by  $\phi_{-n}$ .

**Definition 3.** For  $\phi$ , and  $\psi$  in  $\mathbb{A}$  we say that  $\phi$  is conjugate to  $\psi$  if there is an  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\phi = \eta \circ \psi \circ \eta_{-1}$ . The conjugacy class of  $\phi$  is denoted  $\mathbb{C}(\phi)$  and so  $\mathbb{C}(\phi) = \{\eta \circ \phi \circ \eta_{-1} : \eta \in \mathbb{A}.\}$ 

The following proposition is well known and we include it for notational purposes.

**Proposition 1.** Suppose  $(b_k)$  is a sequence of functions in  $\mathbb{A}$  and that  $b_k(a_k) = 0 \ \forall \ k > 1$ .

- (i) If  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k(z)$  is a convergent Blaschke product, then  $(a_k)$  is a Blaschke sequence. That is,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-|a_k|) < \infty$ .
- (ii) Conversely, if  $(a_k)$  is a Blaschke sequence then there exists  $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \in T$  so that  $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k(z)$  converges.

## 3. Isometric Equivalence

A theorem of Forelli [6 ] describes all isometries of  $H^p$  onto  $H^p$ . For  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $d \in T$  Forelli showed that the map

$$f \mapsto d(\phi'(z))^{1/p} f \circ \phi$$

is in  $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$ , and that all onto isometries have this form. Theorem A below is a restatement of this result.

If

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\lambda(a-z)}{1 - \overline{a}z},$$

then

$$\phi'(z) = \frac{\lambda(1-|a|^2)}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2},$$

so that the choice of a branch of the pth root function that will make  $(\phi'(z))^{1/p}$  analytic will depend on  $\lambda$ . It is useful to set our notation so that we always use the principal branch given by  $(r \exp(i\theta))^{1/p} = r^{1/p} \exp(i\theta/p)$ ,  $-\pi < \theta < \pi$ , r > 0. Now  $(\overline{\lambda}\phi'(z)) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)}{(1-\overline{a}z)^2}$  has positive real part so  $(\overline{\lambda}\phi'(z))^{1/p}$  is analytic on D.

Let  $C_{\phi}f = f \circ \phi$  denote composition by  $\phi$  and for  $F \in H^{\infty}, M_F$  denotes multiplication by F. Finally, let

$$U_{\phi} = M_{((\overline{\lambda})\phi')^{1/p}} C_{\phi}.$$

Forelli's result can be stated as

**Theorem** A. 
$$\mathbb{I}(H^p) = \{ \rho U_{\phi} : \phi \in \mathbb{A}, |\rho| = 1 \}$$

From this result we see that  $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$  is determined by  $\mathbb{A}$ . We will examine the relation between the group structure of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{I}(H^p)$ .

We note that for p=2, the operators of the form  $\rho U_{\phi}$  in Theorem A are of course unitary operators on  $H^2$  which are tied to the analytic structure of  $H^2$ . These unitaries are a small subgroup of the full unitary group on  $H^2$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\phi$ , and  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$ . Then

 $a)U_{\phi}U_{\psi}=\rho U_{\psi\circ\phi}$  for some  $\rho\in T$ , which depends on  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

$$b)U_{\phi}^{-1} = U_{\phi_{-1}}.$$

Proof. Suppose that  $\phi(z) = \frac{\lambda_1(z-a_1)}{1-\overline{a_1}z}$  and  $\psi(z) = \frac{\lambda_2(z-a_2)}{1-\overline{a_2}z}$ . Then

$$\psi \circ \phi(z) = \frac{\lambda_3(z - a_3)}{1 - \overline{a_3}z}$$

for some  $\lambda_3 \in T$ ,  $a_3 \in D$ . Note that  $C_{\psi}C_{\phi} = C_{\phi \circ \psi}$ . Also if  $F \in H^{\infty}$ , then  $C_{\phi}M_F = M_{F \circ \phi}C_{\phi}$ . Thus

$$U_{\phi}U_{\psi} = M_{(\lambda_1 \ \phi')^{1/p}}C_{\phi}M_{(\lambda_2 \ \psi')^{1/p}}C_{\psi \circ \phi} = (\overline{\lambda_1}\phi')^{1/p}(\overline{\lambda_2}\psi' \circ \phi)^{1/p}C_{\psi \circ \phi}.$$

But

$$U_{\psi \circ \phi} = (\overline{\lambda_3}(\psi \circ \phi)')^{1/p} C_{\psi \circ \phi} = (\overline{\lambda_3}(\psi' \circ \phi)\phi')^{1/p} C_{\psi \circ \phi}$$

So one sees that  $U_{\psi \circ \phi}$  is a unimodular multiple of  $U_{\phi}U_{\psi}$ , and a) is proven.

For part b) we recall that

$$\phi_{-1}(z) = \frac{\overline{\lambda_1}(z + \lambda_1 a_1)}{(1 + \overline{\lambda_1 a_1} z)}.$$

Take  $\psi = \phi_{-1}$  in the last proof. Thus

$$U_{\phi}U_{\phi_{-1}} = (\overline{\lambda_1} \,\phi')^{1/p} (\lambda_1 \phi'_{-1} \circ \phi)^{1/p} C_{\phi_{-1} \circ \phi} = I \quad \#$$

Remark. The value of the constant  $\rho$  in Lemma 1 a) will not be needed in our work, but it can of course be explicitly computed. For  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  as in the proof of Lemma 1, one can show that  $\rho = \exp i\theta$ , where  $\theta = arg(1 + \overline{\lambda_1 a_1} a_2)^{2/p}$ .

We now describe all  $S \in \mathbb{I}(H^p)$  which are isometrically equivalent to a fixed  $U_{\phi} \in \mathbb{I}(H^p)$ .

**Proposition 2.**  $S \approx U_{\phi} \Leftrightarrow there \ exists \ \eta \in \mathbb{A} \ and \ \rho \in T \ so \ that$ 

$$S = \rho U_{\eta \circ \phi \circ \eta_{-1}}.$$

Proof:  $S \approx U_{\phi} \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists } \eta \in \mathbb{A} \text{ so that}$ 

$$U_{\eta_{-1}}U_{\phi}U_{\eta} = S.$$

But

$$U_{\eta_{-1}}U_{\phi}U_{\eta} = U_{\eta_{-1}}(\rho_1 U_{\eta \circ \phi}) = \rho_2 \rho_1 U_{\eta \circ \phi \circ \eta_{-1}}$$

where  $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in T$  as in Lemma 1a. #

So Proposition 2 states that

$$\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{C}(\phi) \Leftrightarrow U_{\phi} = \rho U_{\widetilde{\psi}}$$

for some  $\rho \in T$ .

We focus on the isometries of  $H^p$  into  $H^p$ . The most familiar example is the shift,  $M_z$ , on  $H^p$ . The range of  $M_z$  is  $zH^p$  so is of codimension one. For example, which  $S \in \mathbb{B}(H^2)$  satisfy  $S \approx M_z$ ? We will in fact classify all finite codimension isometries up to isometric equivalence. We give the details of our results for the case for codimension one isometries and the codimension n case follows similarly.

Note that  $M_z$  has the additional property that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_z)^n H^p = (0).$$

**Definition 4.** A codimension one isometry S on  $H^p$  is called Crownover (see [2] , [7]) , if  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n H^p = (0)$ .

We will also classify such isometries up to isometric equivalence.

# 4. Finite Codimensional Isometries

We will now state Forelli's theorem [6, Theorem 1] describing all isometries of  $H^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ .

**Theorem** B. S is an isometry of  $H^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ ,  $p \ne 2$  iff  $S = Ff(\phi)$  for some  $\phi$  inner and an  $F \in H^p$  which is related to  $\phi$ .

The precise relationship between F and  $\phi$  can be found in [6] and is not needed in the work that follows. We will provide a simpler description of the isometries of finite codimension.

**Lemma 2.** Suppose that  $T = M_F C_{\phi}$  as in Theorem B and that the inner function  $\phi$  is not in A. Then the T has infinite codimension.

Proof: We will modify the proof of [1, Lemma 3.6]]. Let  $K_b(z) = \frac{1}{1-\overline{b}z}$ .

Since  $\phi$  is an open map which is not univalent, we can choose sequences  $(a_n)$ ,  $(b_n)$  in D so that  $\phi(a_n) = \phi(b_n) = c_n \ \forall n$ . F is not the zero function so we can also assusme  $F(a_n) \neq 0$  and  $F(b_n) \neq 0 \ \forall n$ . Let  $g_n = \overline{F(b_n)}K_{a_n} - \overline{F(a_n)}K_{b_n}$ . Since the kernels are linearly independent the functions  $g_n$  are linearly independent. The  $g_n$  are in  $H^{\infty}$  and so induce linear functionals  $\Lambda_n$  on  $H^p$  which are linearly independent and satisfy

$$\Lambda_n(Tf) = \Lambda_n(Ff \circ \phi) = F(b_n)F(a_n)c_n - F(b_n)F(a_n)c_n = 0,$$

for all  $f \in H^p$ . Hence,

$$\bigcap Ker(\Lambda_j) \supset T(H^p).$$

Thus  $\{g_n\}$  is a linearly independent set whose span intersects  $TH^p$  only at (0). This implies T has infinite codimension. #

Hence we need only consider isometries of the form  $M_FC_\phi$ , where  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $F \in H^p$ . If  $\phi(z) = \frac{\lambda(z-c)}{1-\overline{c}z}$ , then  $M_FC_\phi = M_{\frac{F}{(\overline{\lambda}\,\phi')^{1/p}}}U_\phi$ . Since  $U_\phi \in \mathbb{I}(H^p)$ , it follows that  $M_{\frac{F}{(\overline{\lambda}\,\phi')^{1/p}}}$  must be isometric. This means that  $M_{\frac{F}{(\overline{\lambda}\,\phi')^{1/p}}}$  is an inner function, which we label as  $\Psi$ .

Clearly  $M_{\Psi}U_{\phi}$  has the codimension of  $M_{\Psi}$ . The codimension is  $n < \infty \Leftrightarrow \Psi$  is an n-fold Blaschke product. In this case we write  $\Psi \in \mathbb{A}_n$ . In particular  $\mathbb{A}_1 = \mathbb{A}$ .

We have shown that the set of isometries of codimension n is given by

$$\mathbb{I}_n(H^p) = \{ M_{\Psi} U_{\phi} : \phi \in \mathbb{A}, \Psi \in \mathbb{A}_n \}.$$

In most of what follows, we focus on the isometries

$$\mathbb{I}_1(H^p) = \{ M_{\psi} U_{\phi} : \phi, \psi \in \mathbb{A} \}$$

of codimension one.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $S_1 = M_{\psi}U_{\phi} \in \mathbb{I}_1(H^p)$ . If  $S_2 \in \mathbb{I}_1(H^p)$ , then  $S_2 \approx S_1 \Leftrightarrow \exists \eta \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\rho \in T$  so that  $S_2 = M_{\rho\psi\circ\eta}U_{\eta-1\circ\phi\circ\eta}$ .

Proof:  $S_2 \approx S_1 \Leftrightarrow \exists \eta \in \mathbb{A} \text{ so that } U_{n-1}S_1U_n = S_2$ . But

$$\begin{split} U_{\eta} S_{1} U_{\eta-1} &= U_{\eta} M_{\psi} U_{\phi} U_{\eta-1} = M_{\psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta} (\rho_{1} U_{\eta_{-1} \circ \phi}) = M_{\psi \circ \eta} \rho_{1} (U_{\eta} U_{\eta_{-1} \circ \phi}) \\ &= M_{\psi \circ \eta} \rho_{1} \rho_{2} U_{\eta_{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta} = \rho M_{\psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta_{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta}. \end{split}$$

Here  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are the unimodular constants that arise in Lemma 1 a, and  $\rho = \rho_1 \rho_2$ . #

With e(z) = z note that if  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $M_{\psi} = M_{\psi}U_e$  has codimension one.

Corollary 1. If  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $S \in \mathbb{I}_1(H^p)$ , then  $S = M_{\widetilde{\psi}}$  for some  $\widetilde{\psi} \in \mathbb{A}$ .

Proof:  $S \approx M_{\phi} \Leftrightarrow \exists \eta \in \mathbb{A}$  so that

$$S = U_{\eta} M_{\psi} U_{\eta_{-1}} = M_{\psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta} U_{\eta_{-1}} = M_{\psi \circ \eta}.$$

Finally, note that  $\{\psi \circ \eta : \eta \in \mathbb{A}\} = \mathbb{A}$  #

We remark that the above result shows that  $S \approx M_z \Leftrightarrow S = M_{\psi}$  for some  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$ . We now generalize the last corollary. Fix  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and consider when  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi} \approx M_{\widetilde{\psi}}U_{\phi}$ . Corollary 1 settles the question if  $\phi = e$ .

So suppose  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  and that  $U_{\eta}(M_{\psi}U_{\phi})U_{\eta-1}=M_{\widetilde{\eta}}U_{\phi}$ . The left side simplifies to

$$M_{\psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta} U_{\phi} U_{\eta_{-1}} = \rho M_{\psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta_{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta},$$

and with the notation  $\widetilde{\psi} = \rho \psi \circ \eta$  and  $\phi = \eta_{-1} \circ \phi \circ \eta$  we have our equality

$$U_{\eta}(M_{\psi}U_{\phi})U_{\eta_{-1}} = M_{\widetilde{\psi}}U_{\phi}.$$

It follows that  $\phi \circ \eta = \eta \circ \phi$ , so  $\phi$  and  $\eta$  commute. Thus we have

Corollary 2.  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi} \approx M_{\widetilde{\psi}}U_{\phi} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\psi} = \rho \psi \circ \eta \text{ for some } \eta \in \mathbb{A} \text{ with } \eta \text{ commuting } with \phi \text{ and } \rho \in T \text{ satisfying}$ 

$$U_{\eta}U_{\phi}U_{\eta-1} = \rho U_{\eta-1}\circ\phi\circ\eta.$$

Remark: We will discuss in Section 7 the classification of the automorphisms commuting with a fixed  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$ .

Recall that  $\forall \psi \in \mathbb{A}, M_{\psi}$  is a Crownover shift. That is

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_{\psi})^n H^p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (M_{(\psi)^n}) H^p = (0).$$

Given a  $S = M_{\psi}U_{\phi} \in \mathbb{I}_1(H^p)$  when is S Crownover? Now

$$S^2 = (M_{\psi}U_{\phi})((M_{\psi}U_{\phi}) = M_{\psi}M_{\psi\circ\phi}U_{\phi}U_{\phi} = \rho M_{\psi}M_{\psi\circ\phi}U_{\phi_2},$$

for some  $\rho \in T$ . Iterating we have

$$S^n = (M_{\psi}U_{\phi})^n = \rho M_{\psi} M_{\psi \circ \phi} \dots M_{\psi \circ \phi_{n-1}} U_{\phi_n},$$

where  $\rho \in T$  depends on n.

Now  $U_{\phi_n}$  is onto , so  $S^nH^p=B_nH^p$ , where  $B_n$  is the Blaschke product  $\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}b_k$ , where

$$b_k = \psi \circ \phi_k$$
.

Note that  $b_k$  is merely the kth term of the sequence  $(\psi \circ \phi_k)$  and does not represent the kth iterate of b.

It follows that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n H^p = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n H^p$ . If this intersection contains an  $f \neq 0$  then each  $b_k$  is a factor of f so by Proposition 4 there is a Blaschke product of the form  $B = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n H^p = BH^p$ . Thus the zeros of  $(b_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  form a Blaschke sequence. The above discussion shows that

**Theorem 2.**  $M_{\phi}U_{\phi}$  is Crownover  $\Leftrightarrow$  the sequence of zeros of  $(\psi \circ \phi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  is not a Blaschke sequence.

We will elaborate on this result in the next section using the fixed point structure of  $\phi$ .

At this time we maintain the terminology as above, assuming that  $S = M_{\psi}U_{\phi}$  and that  $B = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k$  is an infinite Blaschke product, with  $\lambda_0 = 1$ . Note that  $BH^p$  is an invariant subspace for S. We will show that  $S|_{BH^p} \in \mathbb{I}(BH^P)$ . First note that

$$M_{\psi}C_{\phi}B = M_{\psi}C_{\phi} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}b_{k} = \psi \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}b_{k+1}$$

So if  $g \in H^p$ , then

$$SBg = M_{\psi}U_{\phi}Bg = BU_{\phi}g,$$

and  $S|_{BH^p}$  is onto  $BH^p$ .

Lastly, we note that  $S|_{BH^p}$  is isometrically equivalent to  $U_{\phi}$ . Let  $V: H^p \to BH^p$  be the isometry defined by

$$V_g = Bg$$
,  $g \in H^p$ .

Then

$$g \in H^p \Rightarrow (S|_{BH^p})V_q = S(Bg) = BU_\phi g,$$

so that  $S|_{BH^p} \approx U_{\phi}$ .

Remark: We now consider the case as above but with p=2. The Wold decomposition for the isometry S (see [9, Th.1.1]), is easy to exhibit. Namely,  $H^2 = BH^2 \bigoplus (BH^2)^{\perp}$  is a direct sum of invariant subspaces of S.  $S|_{BH^2}$  is unitary and is in fact unitarily equivalent to  $U_{\phi}$ , while  $S|_{(BH^2)^{\perp}}$  is a unilateral shift. If  $\psi(z) = \frac{\mu(z-b)}{1-\overline{b}z}$ , then span of the kernel  $K_b$  is a wandering subspace for the shift.

#### 5. The Crownover Property

Each  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\phi \neq e$ , can be classified as elliptic, hyperbolic, or parabolic according to its fixed points in  $\overline{D}$ . See [1] or [8] for more detail.

**Definition 5.**  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\phi \neq e$ , is elliptic if  $\phi$  has a fixed point, say a, in D. Let  $\mathbb{E}(a) = \{\psi \in \mathbb{A} : \psi(a) = a, \psi \neq e\}$  denote the set of all elliptic automorphisms of D that fix a.

Choose  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\eta(a) = 0$  and note that  $\eta \circ \mathbb{E}(a) \circ \eta_{-1}$  is the set of nontrivial rotations of D.

**Definition 6.**  $\phi$  is parabolic if it has only one fixed point, say w. In this case  $w \in T$  and  $\phi'(w) = 1$ . Of course w is also the unique fixed point of  $\phi_{-1}$ . w is attractive for  $\phi$  (and for  $\phi_{-1}$ ). That is, for all  $c \in D$ ,  $\phi_n(c) \to w$  and  $\phi_{-n}(c) \to w$ .

**Definition 7.**  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  ,  $\phi \neq e$  is called hyperbolic if  $\phi$  has two distinct fixed points, say  $w_1$ , and  $w_2$ , on T. In this case one of the fixed points , say  $w_1$ , is the attractive fixed point for  $\phi$ . Also  $w_2$  is the attractive fixed point for  $\phi_{-1}$ . Further,  $\phi'(w_1) < 1$  and  $\phi'(w_2) > 1$ .

Let

$$\mathbb{H}(w_1, w_2) = \{ \psi \in \mathbb{A}, \phi \neq e : \psi(w_1) = w_1, \psi(w_2) = w_2 \}$$

be the collection of hyperbolic automorphisms that fix  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ . If  $w_1', w_2'$  is another pair of distinct points on T and  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  is chosen so that  $\eta(w_1) = w_1', \eta(w_2) = w_2'$ , then  $\mathbb{H}(w_1', w_2') = \eta \circ \mathbb{H}((w_1, w_2) \circ \eta_{-1})$ . Thus all of these sets are conjugate.

As an example , take  $w_1=-1$  ,  $w_2=1$ . Then one can show  $\mathbb{H}(-1,1)=\{\psi_r\ ;\ -1< r<0\ or\ 0< r<1\}$ , where  $\psi_r(z)=\frac{z-r}{1-rz}$ .

**Proposition 3.** If  $\phi$  is elliptic and  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi}$  is Crownover.

Proof: Since  $\phi$  is conjugate to a rotation, it is routine to check that the zeros of  $\psi \circ \phi_n$  lie on a circle in D and hence can not be a Blaschke sequence. #

**Proposition 4.** If  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\phi$  is hyperbolic, than  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi}$  is not Crownover.

Proof: It is easy to check that if  $\phi$  is hyperbolic and  $c \in D$  that  $\sum (1 - |\phi_n(c)|) < \infty$ . (See [8, p85, #6.) Suppose  $\psi \circ \phi_n(a_n) = 0 \ \forall \ n \geq 0$ . Then  $\phi_n(a_n) = \psi_{-1}(0)$ , and  $a_n = \phi_{-n} \circ \psi_{-1}(0)$ . But  $\phi_{-1}$  is also hyperbolic, so  $\sum (1 - |\phi_{-1}(\psi_{-1}(0)|) = \sum (1 - |a_n|) < \infty$  and  $(a_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence. #

Our goal is to show that if  $\phi, \psi \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\phi$  parabolic, then  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi}$  is not Crownover. That is, the zeros of  $(\psi \circ \phi_n)$  form a Blaschke sequence, just as in the case that  $\phi$  is hyperbolic.

**Definition 8.** For  $w \in T$ , let  $\mathbb{P}(w)$  be the collection of parabolic automorphisms that fix w.

It is easy to see that the sets  $\mathbb{P}(w)$ ,  $w \in T$ , are conjugate to each other. So we first consider  $\mathbb{P}(1)$ .

A computation will show that

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\lambda(z-a)}{1-\overline{a}z} \in \mathbb{P}(1) \Leftrightarrow \phi(1) = 1 = \phi'(1).$$

Solving for a and  $\lambda$ , we see that

$$|a-1/2|=1/2$$
,  $a \neq 0, 1$ 

and that  $\lambda = \frac{1-\overline{a}}{1-a}$ . So a-1/2 = (c/2), where  $c \in T, c \neq \pm 1$  and thus  $\lambda = \frac{1-\overline{c}}{1-c} = \frac{-1}{c}$ . Using these equalities we can write  $\phi$  in the form

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1 + c - 2z}{2c - (1 + c)z},$$

which we write as  $\phi_c(z)$ .

So we have

$$\mathbb{P}(1) = \{ \phi_c(z) = \frac{1 + c - 2z}{2c - (1 + c)z} : c \in T, c \neq \pm 1 \}.$$

The functions  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{-i}$  play a special role in what follows.

Observe that if  $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(1)$  and if  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\psi(1) = 1$ , then

$$\psi \circ \phi \circ \psi_{-1} \in \mathbb{P}(1)$$
.

Here  $\psi$  could be hyperbolic. Our approach is to conjugate  $\phi_i$  (or  $\phi_{-i}$ ) by automorphisms  $\psi_r \in \mathbb{H}(-1,1)$ , discussed after Definition 7. We note that the inverse of  $\psi_r$  is  $\psi_{-r}$ .

**Proposition 5.** Let  $\phi_c(z) = \frac{1+c-2z}{2c-(1+c)z}$  where  $c \in T$ ,  $c \neq \pm i$ . If  $\Im(c) > 0$ , then  $\exists r \in (-1,1)$ ,  $r \neq 0$  and  $\psi_r \in \mathbb{H}(-1,1)$  so that  $\phi_c = \psi_r \circ \phi_i \circ \psi_{-r}$ , while if  $\Im(c) < 0$ ,  $\exists$  another  $r \in (-1,1)$ ,  $r \neq 0$  so that  $\phi_c = \psi_r \circ \phi_{-i} \circ \psi_{-r}$ ,

Proof: Let  $r \in (-1,1)$ :  $r \neq 0$ . Then  $\psi_r \circ \phi_i \circ \psi_{-r} \in \mathbb{P}(1)$ , so if  $z_r$  is the zero of  $\psi_r \circ \phi_i \circ \psi_{-r}$ , then |z-1/2|=1/2. Letting  $c_r=2z_r-1$ , it suffices to show that  $\{c_r: -1 < r < 1\}$  is the upper half semicircle of T.

A careful computation shows that

$$\psi_r \circ \phi_i \circ \psi_{-r}(z) = \frac{(1-r)^2 - ((1-r)^2 - i(1-r^2))z}{(1-r)^2 + i((1-r^2) - (1-r)^2)z}$$

so that

$$z_r = \frac{(1-r)^2 + i(1-r^2)}{2(1+r^2)}$$

Thus

$$c_r = 2z_r - 1 = \frac{-2r + i(1 - r^2)}{1 + r^2}.$$

One checks that as r goes from -1 to 1,  $c_r$  traces out the required semicircle. A similar computation for  $\phi_{-1}$  yields a  $c_r$  that traces out the lower semicircle of T. #

**Theorem 3.**  $\mathbb{C}(\phi_i) \mid \mathbb{J}\mathbb{C}(\phi_{-i}) = \mathbb{P}$ , the collection of all parabolic automorphisms.

Proof:  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{w \in T} \mathbb{P}(w)$ . Given  $w \in T$ , choose  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  so that  $\eta(1) = w$ . Then we have

$$\eta \circ \mathbb{P}(1) \circ \eta_{-1} = \mathbb{P}(w).$$

Thus each  $\psi \in \mathbb{P}(w)$  is conjugate to some  $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(1)$ , and our previous result shows that  $\phi$  is conjugate to  $\phi_i$  or to  $\phi_{-i}$ . Thus  $\psi$  is conjugate to  $\phi_i$  or to  $\phi_{-i}$ . #

We will now examine the case where  $c = \pm i$ ,  $\phi_i(z)$  and its inverse  $\phi_i = \phi_{-i}$ .

**Lemma 3.** The zeroes of the iterates of  $\phi_i$  (and those of  $\phi_{-i}$ ) form a Blaschke sequence.

Proof: Multiplying each coefficient of  $\phi_i$  by (1-i)/2 shows that

$$\phi_i(z) = \frac{1 - (1 - i)z}{1 + i - z}.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\phi_i \circ \phi_i(z) = \frac{2 - (2 - i)z}{(2 + i) - 2z}$$

and by induction we see that the nth iterate is given by

$$(\phi_i)_n(z) = \frac{n - (n-i)z}{n+i-nz}.$$

Thus  $a_n = n/(n-i)$  is the zero of  $(\phi_i)_n$ ,  $|a_n|^2 = n^2/(n^2+1)$ . So  $\sum (1-|a_n|^2) < \infty$ , and  $(a_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence. Essentially the same argument shows that the zeroes of  $(\phi_{-i})_n$  also form a Blaschke sequence. #

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  with  $\phi_n(a_n) = 0 \ \forall n \Rightarrow (a_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence. Then

- i) If  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\psi \circ \phi_n(b_n) = 0$ ,  $\forall n$ , then  $(b_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence.
- ii)If  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(\phi)$  and if  $(\phi)_n(c_n) = 0$ ,  $\forall n$ , then  $(c_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence.

Proof: For i) assume  $(a_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence and consider  $\psi \circ \phi_n(b_n) = 0$ , with  $\phi_n(z) = \frac{\lambda_n(z-a_n)}{1-\overline{a_n}z}$ . So  $b_n = \phi_{-n} \circ \psi_{-1}(0)$ . Let  $\alpha = \psi_{-1}(0) \in D$ , and  $|\phi_{-n}(\alpha)| = |\frac{(\alpha+\lambda_n a_n)}{(1+\overline{\lambda_n a_n a_n})}|$ .

Then

$$(1 - |b_n|^2) = 1 - \left| \frac{\alpha + \lambda_n a_n}{1 + \overline{\lambda_n a_n} \alpha} \right|^2 = \frac{|a_n|^2 (|\alpha|^2 - 1) + (1 - |\alpha|^2)}{|1 + \overline{\lambda_n a_n} \alpha|^2} \le \frac{2(1 - |a_n|^2)}{1 - |\alpha|}$$

It follows from our assumption that the sequence  $(b_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence. #

For part ii) by our assumption  $\widetilde{\phi} = \eta \circ \phi \circ \eta_{-1}$  and so assuming  $(\widetilde{\phi})_n(c_n) = 0$  we have  $(\widetilde{\phi})_n \circ \eta(d_n) = 0$ , where  $\eta(d_n) = c_n$ . Thus  $\eta \circ \phi_n(d_n) = 0$ . By part i) the sequence  $(d_n)$  is a Blaschke sequence. #

**Theorem 4.** If  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  is parabolic and  $\psi \in \mathbb{A}$ , then  $M_{\psi}U_{\phi}$  is not Crownover.

Proof: Suppose  $\phi$  is parabolic. Then  $\phi \in \mathbb{C}(\phi_i)$  or  $\mathbb{C}(\phi_{-i})$  so by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 ii),  $\phi_n(c_n) = 0 \ \forall n \Rightarrow \{c_n\}$  is a Blaschke sequence. Therefore by Lemma 4 i),  $\Psi \circ \phi_n(b_n) = 0 \ \forall n \Rightarrow \{b_n\}$  is a Blaschke sequence. The result now follows from Theorem 2.

# 6. Isometries of Codimension Greater than One.

Recall that if S is an isometry on  $H^p$ ,  $(p \neq 2)$  of codimension  $d < \infty$ , then  $S = M_{\Psi}C_{\phi}$  for some  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\Psi$  a d fold Blaschke product. Our key results for codimension 1 isometries carry over easily to this setting. Thus

(i) if 
$$\widetilde{S} \in B(H^p)$$
, then

$$\widetilde{S} \approx S \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{S} = \rho M_{\Psi \circ \eta} U_{\eta - 1 \circ \phi \circ \eta}$$

for some  $\eta \in \mathbb{A}$  and a  $\rho \in T$  which is determined by  $\phi$  and  $\rho$ .

(ii) 
$$\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n H^p = (0) \Leftrightarrow \phi$$
 is elliptic.

For (ii), one observes that the zeros of  $(\Psi \circ \phi_n, n \geq 0)$  can be written as a union of d Blaschke sequences.

We now consider isometries S of infinite codimension. These can arise in two ways. S could have the form  $M_FC_{\Phi}$  where  $\Phi$  is inner and  $\Phi \notin A$ . The other possibility is that  $S = M_{\Psi}U_{\phi}$  where  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\Psi$  is inner and not a finite Blaschke product. We focus on this latter case.

Note that  $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n H^p = (0)$  if  $\phi$  is elliptic.

**Proposition 6.** Suppose  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  and  $\phi$  is parabolic or hyperbolic. Depending on this choice of Blaschke product  $\Psi$ , the isometry

$$S = M_{\Psi}U_{\phi}$$

can satisfy either  $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} S^{n}H^{p} = (0)$  or  $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} S^{n}H^{p} \neq (0)$ 

Proof. The following proof will utilize the results of Theorems 2 and 4. Suppose that  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$  is parabolic and thus by Proposition 1 we choose  $\{\lambda_n\}$  in T such that  $\Psi = \prod_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_{-n}$  is a convergent Blaschke product.

Note that

$$\Psi \circ \phi = \prod_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \phi_{-n+1} = e \ \Psi.$$

Iterating this step , we see have  $\Psi \circ \phi_n$  has  $\Psi$  as a factor  $\forall n > 0$ . Thus  $\prod_{1}^{\infty} \Psi \circ \phi_n$ can not be a convergent Blaschke product. Hence,  $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} S^{n}H^{p} = \{0\}$  for  $S = M_{\Psi}U_{\phi}$ . Now suppose that  $\phi_{n}(a_{n}) = 0 \ \forall n > 0$ , so that we must have  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} (1 - |a_{n}|) = 0$ 

 $R < \infty$ . Choose  $1 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ , such that  $\forall k \geq 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=n_k}^{\infty} (1 - |a_n|) < R/(2^k)$$

and let  $\Psi = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n_k}$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=n_k}^{\infty} (1 - |a_n|) < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R/(2^k) < \infty,$$

we see that the zeroes of  $\prod_{1}^{\infty} \Psi \circ \psi_n$  form a Blaschke sequence. Thus  $\prod_{1}^{\infty} \Psi \circ \phi_n$  is convergent, and  $\bigcap_{1}^{\infty} S^n H^p \neq \{0\}$  for  $S = M_{\Psi} U_{\phi}$ .

## 7. Commuting Automorphisms

In this section we elaborate on the conclusion on Corollary 2 by describing the automorphisms of D that commute with a fixed automorphism. These results are undoubtedly known and we outline proofs using the results from the last section.

**Definition 9.** For  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$ , let

$$Com(\phi) = \{ \psi \in \mathbb{A} : \psi \circ \phi = \phi \circ \psi \}.$$

Clearly  $Com(\phi)$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{A}$ , and  $Com(e) = \mathbb{A}$ .

**Proposition 7.** Let  $\phi \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\phi \neq e$ .

- i) If  $\phi \in \mathbb{E}(a)$ , then  $Com(\phi) = \mathbb{E}(a) \bigcup \{e\}$ .
- ii) If  $\phi \in \mathbb{P}(w)$ , then  $Com(\phi) = \mathbb{P}(w) \bigcup \{e\}$ .
- iii) If  $\phi \in \mathbb{H}(w_1, w_2)$ , then  $Com(\phi) = \mathbb{H}(w_1, w_2) \cup \{e\}$ .
- iv) In each of these cases,  $Com(\phi)$  is abelian.

Proof: Observe that  $Com(\eta \circ \phi \circ \eta_{-1}) = \eta \circ Com(\phi) \circ \eta_{-1}$ . So it will suffice to consider a = 0, w = 1, and  $w_1 = -1$ , and  $w_2 = 1$ .

For i), if  $\phi \in \mathbb{E}(0) = \{\eta_{\lambda}(z) = \lambda z : \lambda \in T, \lambda \neq 1\}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(0) \subset Com(\phi)$ . But if  $\psi \in Com(\phi)$ , then  $\psi(0) = \psi(\phi(0)) = \phi(\psi(0))$ , so  $\psi(0) = 0$ . Thus  $\psi \in \mathbb{E}(0)$  or  $\psi = e$ .

For ii), if

$$\phi \in \mathbb{P}(1) = \{ \phi_c = \frac{1+c-2z}{1+i-z} : c \in T, c \neq \pm 1 \},$$

then a computation shows that  $\mathbb{P}(1)$  is an abelian set. The same argument as in i) shows that if  $\psi \in Com(\phi)$ , then  $\psi = e$  or  $\psi$  has 1 as it unique fixed point. So  $Com(\phi) = \mathbb{P}(1) \bigcup \{e\}$ .

For iii), suppose that

$$\phi \in \mathbb{H}(-1, 1) = \{ \psi_r(z) = \frac{z - r}{1 + rz} : -1 < r < 0, or, 0 < r < 1 \}$$

Observe that  $\mathbb{H}(-1, 1)$  is an abelian set. Let  $\psi \in Com(\phi)$ . It follows easily that

$$\{\psi(1), \psi(-1)\} = \{\pm 1\}.$$

If  $\psi(1) = 1$  and  $\psi(-1) = -1$ , then  $\psi \in \mathbb{H}(-1, 1)$  as desired. So suppose  $\psi(-1) = 1$  and  $\psi(1) = -1$ . Then  $-\psi \in \mathbb{H}(-1, 1)$  so  $\psi(z) = \frac{r_1 - z}{1 - r_1 z}$  for some  $r_1$ . A computation will show that  $\psi$  does not commute with automorphisms in  $\mathbb{H}(-1, 1)$ . Thus  $Com(\phi) = \mathbb{H}(-1, 1) \bigcup \{e\}$ . #

References

- [1.] Cowen, C. and MacCluer, B. : Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press
- [2.] Crownover, R. : Commutants of shifts on Banach Spaces, Mich. Math. J., Vol. 19, Issue 3(1972), 233 247.
- [3.]Duren, P., Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces: Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- [4.] De Leeuw, K., Rudin, W., and Wermer, J. , The isometries of some function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11, (1960), 694-698.
- [5.] Fleming, R. J., and Jamison, J., Isometries on Banach Spaces: function spaces, Mongraphs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2003) 129. Chapman & Hall
  - [6.] Forelli, F., The isometries of H<sup>p</sup>, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 16(1964), 7210728.

- [7.] Robinson, J.: Crownover shift operators., J.of Math. Analysis and Applic. , 02/1988;130(1):30-38.
- [8.] Shapiro, J.: Composition operators and classical function theory, Universitat: Tracts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [9.] Sz.Nagy, B. and Foias, C. , Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space, North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam  ${\bf .}$  London.Inc.

Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C. 27599 - 3250